RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTE

AUG 0 9 2005

SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER ■ KLUTH

P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone (612) 373-6900

Facsimile (612) 339-3061

August 9, 2005

Time: 5:29 p.w. (Minneapolis, Minn.)

TO:

Commissioner for Patents

FROM: Ann M. McCrackin

Attn: Thu-Thao Havan

Patent Examining Corps

OUR REF: 884.895US1

Facsimile Center P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TELEPHONE: 612-349-9592

FAX NUMBER (571) 273-8300

* Please deliver to Examiner Thu-Thao Havan in Art Unit 2672. *

Document(s) Transmitted: Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. 1.1312a (9 pages).

Total pages of this transmission, including cover letter: 10 pgs.

If you do NOT receive all of the pages described above, please telephone us at 612-373-6900 or fax us at 612-339-3061.

In re. Patent Application of: Bimal Poddar

Examiner: Thu-Thao Havan

Serial No.: 09/672,954

Group Art Unit: 2672

Filed: September 29, 2000

Docket No.: 884.895US1

Title: EFFICIENT DETECTION OF TEXTURE SHARING BETWEEN MULTIPLE

CONTEXTS

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

By: Unn M. McCeckin Name: Ann M. McCrackin

Reg. No.: Reg. No. 42,858

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

for Washal John Gustav-Wrat

August 9, 2005

Date of Transmission

AUG 0 9 2005

S/N 09/672954 PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Bimal Poddar Examiner:

Thu-Thao Havan

Serial No.:

Assignee:

09/672954

CONTEXTS

Group Art Unit:

2672

Filed:

September 29, 2000

Docket No.:

884.895US1

Title:

EFFICIENT DETECTION OF TEXTURE SHARING BETWEEN MULTIPLE

Intel Corporation

Customer No.:

21186

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.312(a)

Mail Stop 313 (c) Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

This communication is in response to Examiner's telephonic correspondence on August 8, 2005.

APPLICANT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant would like to thank Examiner Eric Woods for initiating a telephonic interview with Applicant's Representative, Andrew DeLizio, on August 8, 2005 regarding the aboveidentified patent application. In the interview, the Examiner proposed incorporating "computerimplemented" and "computer system" into certain of the claims. Additionally, the Examiner pointed-out that certain dependent claims recited features identical to those of their independent claims. No exhibits were shown and no demonstrations were conducted. No other pertinent matters were discussed.